Previous references.

File No. 164 of 03-04

1903-04.

(Police)

Serial Nos. 168)

Later references.

(P-27)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 168)

Part B. for

SUBJECT.

Petition of Ex Duffedar Tirumal Naik, complaining against his dismissal.

Table of Contents

Ssl No 1. Petition from Tirumal Naik dy 23-11-02 (Rs 8)
Praying that he may be made a Jamedar or ~~practical clerk but was dismissed~~ or pension be granted to him.

Ssl No 2. To the petitioner Tirumal Naik No 11014 dy 4-2-03
Returning the petition for submission through the Head of the Dept to which he belonged -

Ssl No 3 From the Ig of Police No 6623 dy 19th June 03 (Rs 250)
Reporting on the petition of Tirumal Naik & stating that he was dismissed the service in 1899 & the G. R. S. 58 Govt may grant special pension for the long service of 20 years put by the petitioner

Ssl No 4. To the Inspector Gl of Police No 2112 dy 30-6-03
Asking his opinion regarding the creation of

the absence as leave without allowance till 1st July 03 and then to retire him on pension as he will be 55 years old on that date -

No 5. From the Inspector Genl of Police No 270/23 dt 9-7-03. stating that he has no objection to the disposal of the case in the manner suggested in his letter.

No 6. To the Genl of Police Madras No 281-82 dt 20-7-03 Directing that Terminal Naick's absence from duty till 1st July 1903 be treated as leave without allowances and that he be retired on such pension as he will be entitled to on that date

No 7. From the Inspector Genl of Police No 5011/321 dt 2-9.33 -04 Requesting the return of the Service Register of Ex Duffedar Terminal Naik of the Hankadhalli Taluk forwarded with letter No 6623-400 dt 19-5-03

No 8 To the Inspector Genl of Police No 828 dt 7-3-04 Returning the Service Register as also the other enclosures received with the memorial from the Ex Duffedar forwarded with his No 6623/400 dt 19th May 1903.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,

(REVENUE AND GENERAL DEPARTMENTS

(Full Office Note.)

---

‡ 1. No. and date of latest letter or paper.
2. Catch words to show nature of subject.
3. Subject to be in red and body of note in black ink.

‡‡ **Subject.** Petition of Ex-Duffedar Timmal Naik, Complaining against his dismissal

Letter No. 6623/400 dated 19th May 1903, from the Inspector General of Police in Mysore, Submitting a report on the appeal petition of Ex Duffedar Timmal Naik.

* 1. Statement of case in narrative form in regular sequence of subject matter or date.
2. Opinions to be stated only as supported by precedents, or general rules which should always be quoted.
3. Serial numbers in file, &c., to be always stated.
4. Dates of important documents referred should be given.
5. Write on both sides, leaving the same side as margin.

* **Note.**

The facts of the case are as follows:

Timmala naik was 1st class Duffedar of Harohalli, in the Kankanhalli Taluk. He was transferred to the Nelamangala Taluk on 6th March 1896, but he declined to go. On the 16th May 1896 he was appointed by the Deputy Commr to act as Taddy Inspector. In July 1896 his acting appointment ceased and he reverted to the Police ~~was~~ and was kept in the Kankanhalli Taluk by order of the then Dy. Commr. In 1897 he was again transferred to the Nelamangala Taluk, by Mr Dunning. ~~Subedar~~ Timmal Naik refused to go unless he was promoted to a Jemadari.

There

[illegible] he was ordered on
September 1897 to be suspended
until he joined his duties in Kolamangala
which he did on 16th September 1897
On the 1st October 1897, he proceeded
on leave without allowances
for 6 months and then obtained
extension from time to time
till 16 months elapsed. On the
2nd September 1899, the Hon Asstt
Supt of Police, Mr L. Krishna
Rao, served him with a notice
to join his appointment
forthwith on pain of his forfeiting
the same and to this, Sirumal
Naik paid no attention whatever.
The Asstt. Supt, having brought
the circumstances of the case
to the notice of the Dy. Comr
Mr Puttamachetty and obtained
his orders directed the man's
name to be struck off the
force as a deserter
in his order dated 8th January
1900. Subsequently, after repeated
applications, Sirumal Naik was, in consn
w/service, to be retired
ordered by Mr Puttamachetty
on 4th February 1900. Naik Sirumal
Naik who, as directed
by the Dy. Comr, presented
himself for medical examination

was pronounced to be physically fit for further service and so he could not be retired on pension.

His repeated petitions to the Dy. Comr. and the Inspector General of Police, met with the reply that they could not take him as he had been dismissed for not complying with the order of his transfer to another Taluk and staying away without permission.

The Petitioner now appeals against the Inspector General's order and states that in the year 1897 when he was transferred to Tyamagondla, he was subject to consecutive bereavements in his family in the loss of his mother and son from plague, and was thus compelled to apply for an extension of leave which was denied to him. He prays that he may be given back his Jemadari or the place of an Abkari Inspector or at least he may be granted pension

pension from the date when
he was made to close his service
He submits certain testimonials
in original showing that he has rendered
efficient work both in the Police
and Excise departments.
The Service Register submitted
by him shows that he entered
the Service of Govt on 15th November
1878 as a jemadar, but appears
to have been subsequently reduced
to the daffedar.

The Inspector General
states that the ex-duffedar's
dismissal is due to his
persistently failing to join
his duty in spite of a notice
issued to him, & that the dismissal
took place so far back as
December 1899, his case deserves
no consideration unless
the Govt is pleased to grant
him a special pension as
a matter of grace on account
of the long service of 20 years
put in by him.

Submitted for orders.

28/5/03

Sanction it as an ordinary

case, Govt has no alternative but to reject his petition. If however, extraordinary concession is meant to be shown, as it is unlikely that without some strong excuse such as he urges, the Govt will lose the benefit of all his service, Govt may perhaps say that ~~still~~ 1st July 1903, his absence may be treated as leave without allce (art. 274) & he may then be retired as he will be 55 yrs old, on pension for his service.

The matter is submitted

10675
8.6.03 for orders.

The Dewan

7/6/3

Draft-Arc.
4/25 Gen
Draft issued—

In reply, the Inspector Gen...

2460
Inform Mr
D.J. Govt
by D.J.
with
order
and
2nd VI.03

24/6/3

General States that he has no objection to the disposal of the case in the manner suggested above.

Submitted for orders with a draft.

23/7

23/7

17/7/03

To the Lewar.

11707
24.VII.03

23.7

No. 7117.

Name of Petitioner Iruma C.R

Village residing in Harohalli

Taluk Kanakanhally

District Bangalore.

Date of Arzi 23.12.02

Date of Receipt —do—

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure 16.

To Supt

Where peti has b
that he peti thro the
of Police but I do not know
if the rule is strictly enforced
in the branches)

27/1/03

Memo

inted for Sanction
Head of the Dept/th
ugh the ~~Inspector General of~~
e concerned.

By order

Where any
Rule? If
known will
Nil for report
30.1.03

Sd/-
(Asstt to Secy)

(X) Please Notification No. 2714
P. 420

a.a as prayed below.
Return as prayed
by a reference
31.1.03

Sir

as Jamadar in the Police Dept
1878 & discharged his duties
factorily, that he was after wa
appointed to act as Abkari
in the Excise Dept & rendered
much help in the Lodg & arrac
sales of the Closepet Sub-Div
in 1895-96, that on account
his illness, he was compelled
take leave, that he was at the
same time transferred to Ty
pondur, but was compelled on
MGCPB—5,000—10-11-02
& family bereavements, to ap
for an extension, that exten
was not granted & an ord

passed by the Supdt of Police,
the Dy Comr, Bangalore Distt,
sked his opinion on 4.2.00
the petn in consideration of
long service of 25 years might
etired on pension on production
edical certificate, that the
c offr certified that he was
fit for service, that on
oo the Asstt Supdt of Police
arked that he might be
ded with an appln either in
th Kanada or Rev Deptt that
Puttanna Chetty, the then Dy
Bangalore Distt ordered on
oo that he should be pro-
d in the Police Deptt alone
his petn praying for restoration
tirement have not all
taken into consideration that
t there were orders to that effect
own above that the I.G.
pears not like to re-open the
tion & has given him end to
7.1.02 & 25.7.02 stating that
e has been dismissed long ago
ing could be done, & that the
nth orders about retiring him
ension in consideration of

The Dewan to His Highness the
Maharajah of Mysore.

The humble Memorial of Tirumalaiah
residing in Harohalli, Kankanhalli Taluq
most respectfully sheweth:—

That the humble petitioner is the descend-
ant of respectable ancestry, his father and
grandfather having been Amildars in
your service of bygone days.

That he was appointed as Jamadar in the Police
partment in 1878 and he has since that
e acquitted himself on many an occasion
the detective duties of the Department.

That the petitioner was latterly sent
the Excise Department as Abkari Inspector
recognition of his meritorious services
connection with the sale of toddy shops
erefrom he helped to bring greater profit to Go

That the petitioner was compelled to
ceed upon leave on account of his illness,
en he was transferred to Tyamagonda in
e year 1897 at which time he was subjec
consecutive bereavements in his family
the loss of his mother and son from plag

That the humble petitioner was thus
mpelled by force of circumstances to apply
an extention of leave which was however
fused to him.

That the Superintendent of Police
assed an order of dismissal in his Order
o. 219 dated 5th January 1900;

That the petitioner thereupon applied

with the result that the Deputy Comr, in consideration of the petitioner having put in 20 years of service expressed on 4th Feb the opinion that he may be retired upon pension on production of a Medical Certificate and the petitioner was directed to present himself for Medical Examination.

That the Doctor Sengupta before whom he presented himself expressed the opinion that the petitioner was still fit for service.

That on 10th July 00 the Asstt Supdt of Police noted that he may be provided with either in the Abkari or Revenue Department but the then Deputy Comr Mr. Pattanaik on 15th July 00 said in his order that the petitioner was to be provided in the Police Department alone.

That, thus so far, the petitioner's claims appeared to be still under consideration.

That your Honor's humble petitioner has been presenting petitions ever since for the consideration of his claims to get a superannuation pension, but the authorities have ignored them all.

That to one of his many petitions to the Inspector General of Police, he has been furnished with an Endorsement on 27th January 02 to the effect that since he has been dismissed long ago, nothing could be done now.

That again on 25th July 02, he has been granted the same Endorsement.

That your Honor's humble petitioner begs most respectfully to submit that these latter Endorsements ignore altogether the order of the previous Deputy Commissioner Mr. Pattanaik that he is to be

Papers enclosed herewith —

1. Service Register, signed for 1878, —
   Jamadar of Police.
1. Order of the Police dated No. 192 of 12-12-
1. District Order No. 252 of 1.6.94 }
   Commissioner's No 552 of 3.6.94 } ✓
1. District Superintendent's Order No 272 of 9-2-00. ✓
1. District Superintendent's order No. 57 of 15-7-00 ✓
1. Superintendent of Police No 4854 of 26.6.01 ✓
   200
1. District Superintendent's order No. 8-9-01
   dated the
1. District Superintendent's order No 143 of 2-12-01. ✓
1. Superintendent of Police order No. 2548 27-1-02 ✓
   244
1. Superintendent of Police order No — 25-7-02 ✓
1. Certificate from the R Registration ✓
1. — of 28th Nov 79
1. — from the C.A. Commissioner of 15-4-79.
11. — from the Inspector Rampur ✓
    of 15-5-96.
1. — from the Collector ✓
    of 21-6-96.

consideration of his services
of a period of 20 years, he may
be retired upon pension.

That the question was still open
is evident from the fact that it
was, as pointed out above, under
contemplation in which department
the petitioner was to be employed.

That the Inspector General of Police
while giving altogether the order
of the Deputy Comr, reiterating upon
a former order of the Supdt of Police
which did not find favour with the
then Deputy Comr & refusing to en-
tertain the question.

That the petitioner has been
diligently putting forward his claims
by means of petitions some of which
have attracted the attention they deserve.

That in conclusion your Honors
humble petitioner most respectfully
begs that your Honor will be graciously
pleased to bestow a kind & just
consideration to the petitioners representations
and order either that he may be
given back his Jamedari or the
place of an Asstant Inspector or
at least grant him pension
from the date on which he was
made to cease his services.

And which Acts of Kindness & Justice
the Petitioner shall in duty bound
ever pray.

32nd Decr 1902
Bangalore

Office of the,
Inspector General of Police
Dated, Bangalore, 19th May 1903

CW 66-23
400 one file

12567
Pet 7392
Pet 7502

Serial No 3

From,

C. Madiah, Esquire, Rao Bahadur,
Inspector General of Police.

To,

H V. Ranjundayya Esquire, M.A. M.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
The Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

In forwarding herewith the memorial from ex-Daffedar Timmal Rai The Kankanhally Taluk of the Bangalore district, praying for restoration to his post the grant of a pension, together with copy of a memo drawn by the Superintendent of Police, Bangalore District, received with

with Bangalore under Deputy Commissioner docket No: 652 of the 18th April 1903, explaining the circumstances that brought about the dismissal of the memorialist, I have the honour to state that the ex-Daffedar's dismissal is due to his persistently failing to join his duty in spite of a notice issued to him. His Service extends over a period of 20 years and in consideration thereof the Deputy Commissioner directed that the appellant may be retired on pension, but he was not successful in obtaining a medical certificate. The dismissal took place so far back as December 1899 and his case deserves no consideration unless the Government is pleased to grant him a special pension as a matter of grace on account of the long service of 20 years put in by him.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

your most obedient Servt

Inspector General of Police

Office Draft. (Docket.)

Mysore Dewan's Office,
Branch.

To The D.G. of Police

Date of passing the draft
Date of despatch 30/4/03

Regd. No. 2112
File No. & date (if any)

No. of Encls.

His letter No. 6623/400 dated 19th May 1903 forwarding a report on the appeal petition of Ex-Daffedar Jirunal Naik of the Kaulkandhalli Taluk.

With reference to the above he has the honor to observe that Jirunal Naik must probably have had some strong excuse, such as he urges in his petition for being absent from duty, and thereby exposing himself to the risk of losing the benefit of all his past service, and that, taking the circumstances and his long service into consi-

absence as leave without allowances under Art 274 of the Mysore Service Regulations till 18th July 1903, and then to retire him on pension as he will be 55 years old on that date.

Before passing final orders, however the Inspector General is requested to favour Govt with his opinion on the above proposal.

Dewan's order.

B.O.
27/6/03
for Secy

Dff
27-6-03.



1903-04.

- The Inspector General of Police in Mysore.

R

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore General and Revenue Departments

Dated: 9 July- 1903.
Despatched. 10

General No: 270/20

Encl: No

Stating etcal the Inspector General of Police has no objection to the case of the dismissal of Ex Subedar Timmal Naik of the Kankan hally Circle as suggested

Office of the
Inspector General of Police in Mysore

Dated Bangalore 9th July 1903.

No. 270/20

From

C. Madiah Esquire, Rao Bahadur,
Inspector General of Police,
in Mysore.

To

H. V. Nanjundayya Esqre M.A. M.L.
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

Adverting to your docket
No. 2112/Jun. dated 30th June 1903 calling
for my opinion, as to whether Ex-
Duffedar Timmal Naik of the
Kankanhalli Taluk may be retired
on pension in consideration of
his long service, I have the honor
to state that I have no objection in
to the disposal of the case in the

manner

manner suggested in your Letter under reference.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Inspector General of Police

Comptroller

Mysore Govt., Gen. & Rev. Secretariat

Branch

File No. (if any) and its date—

Serial No 6

-82 530/7/03

2

Office Draft.

Progs. of the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read: Correspondence end-ing with letter No 270/208 dated 9th July 1903, from the Inspector General of Police in Mysore, submitting for orders, a memorial from ex-Duffedar Thimmal Naik of the Kankanhalli Taluk appealing against the order of his dismissal from service passed by the Assistant Supt of Police, Bangalore Dt.

Order:

Thimmala Naik was 1st class Duffedar of Harohalli in the Kankanhalli Taluk. In 1897 he was transferred to Kolamangala. While there, he took long leave for a period of 16 months and (thereafter) failed to join his appoint-ment although he was served with a notice by the Asstt Supt of Police. Thereupon his name was struck off the force as a deserter. The Inspector General of Police to whom he appealed against the order of his dismissal declined to interfere. Thimmala Naik now appeals to Govt

order of the Inspector General of Police and prays that he may be restored to his appointment or granted pension from the date on which he was made to close his services. The Inspector General of Police states that he has no objection to Thirumala Naiko's absence till 1st July 1903 being treated as leave without allowances under Art. 274 of the Madras Service Regulations and then to retired his then being on pension on that date taking into consideration his long service and the circumstances that he must have had some strong reason for being absent from duty.

Under these circumstances Govt are pleased to direct that Tirumala Naik's absence from duty till 1st July 1903 be treated as leave without allowances and that he be retired on such pension as he will be entitled to on that date. This decision should be communicated to the petitioner.

21-7-03 Secretary

The Inspector Gen[eral] of P[olice] The Comptroller, [illegible]

633 24

In answer to an enquiry addressed to him, explaining that his absence was due to various death occurring in his family about that time.

[illegible] 25.VII.03

Serial No 7

3.21

Office of the
Inspector General of Police in Mysore.
Dated Bangalore, 27th February 190[illegible]
2—3—98 H

From,

Rao Bahadur, C. Madiah Esquire,
Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

To,

L.Anantasami Rao Esquire, B.A.,
Offg. Secretary to Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore
General and revenue Departments.

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ending with
overnment proceedings No: 281-82/J dated 30th July 1903
irecting the retirement of Ex Daffedar Thirumal Naik of
he Kankanhalli Taluk on such pension as he may be entitl-
d to, I have the honor to request that his service ----
egister which was forwarded with this office letter No:
623/400 dated 19th May 1903 may be kindly returned, as
t is wanted in connection with the preparation of his
ension roll..

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Inspector General of Police.

A1338.

1903-1904 GOVERNMENT



From,

The Inspector General of Police,

in Mysore.

To,

The Secretary to Government of

H.H.the Maharaja of Mysore

General and Revenue Departments.

Dated.

Despatched: 2—3—1904

Received.

General No:
No: 53 11/321

Enclosure No:-

xxxx

Serial No 8 Office Draft. (~~Letter.~~) Docket

Mysore Government,
General & Revenue Secretariat,
Branch.

File No 164 of 1903-82.
Judicial.

To

The Inspector General of Police in Mysore

Date of passing the draft 7th March
Date of despatch

Regd. No. 1828
File No. & date (if any)

No. of Encls. Records.

His letter No. 5311/321 dated 2nd March 1903, requesting the return of the Service Register of Ex-Duffedar Thimmal Naik of the Kankanhalli Taluk.

Has the honor to return the same as also the other enclosures received with the memorial from the Ex Duffedar forwarded with his No. 6623/400 dated 15th May 1903

By order

for Secretary